### 10 Independent Kendra’s Law Studies Show it works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Study</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 2011 Arrest Outcomes Associated With Outpatient Commitment in New York State Bruce G. Link, et al. Ph.D. Psychiatric Services</td>
<td>For those who received AOT, the odds of any arrest were 2.66 times greater (p&lt;.01) and the odds of arrest for a violent offense 8.61 times greater (p&lt;.05) before AOT than they were in the period during and shortly after AOT. The group never receiving AOT had nearly double the odds (1.91, p&lt;.05) of arrest compared with the AOT group in the period during and shortly after assignment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2010: Assessing Outcomes for Consumers in New York’s Assisted Outpatient Treatment Program Marvin S. Swartz, M.D., Psychiatric Services</td>
<td>Consumers who received court orders for AOT appeared to experience a number of improved outcomes: reduced hospitalization and length of stay, increased receipt of psychotropic medication and intensive case management services, and greater engagement in outpatient services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2010 Columbia University, Phelan, Sinkiewicz, Castille and Link. Effectiveness and Outcomes of Assisted Outpatient Treatment in New York State Psychiatric Services, Vol 61. No 2</td>
<td>Kendra’s Law has lowered risk of violent behaviors, reduced thoughts about suicide and enhanced capacity to function despite problems with mental illness. <em>Patients given mandatory outpatient treatment - who were more violent to begin with - were nevertheless four times less likely than members of the control group to perpetrate serious violence after undergoing treatment. Patients who underwent mandatory treatment reported higher social functioning and slightly less stigma</em>, rebutting claims that mandatory outpatient care is a threat to self-esteem.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- 55% fewer recipients engaged in suicide attempts or physical harm to self  
- 47% fewer physically harmed others  
- 46% fewer damaged or destroyed property  
- 43% fewer threatened physical harm to others.  
- Overall, the average decrease in harmful behaviors was 44%.  
**Consumer Outcomes Improved**  
- 74% fewer participants experienced homelessness  
- 77% fewer experienced psychiatric hospitalization  
- 56% reduction in length of hospitalization.  
- 83% fewer experienced arrest  
- 87% fewer experienced incarceration.  
- 49% fewer abused alcohol  
- 48% fewer abused drugs  
**Consumer participation and medication compliance improved**  
- Number of individuals exhibiting good adherence to meds increased 51%.  
- The number of individuals exhibiting good service engagement increased 103%.  
**Consumer Perceptions Were Positive**  
- 75% reported that AOT helped them gain control over their lives  
- 81% said AOT helped them get and stay well  
- 90% said AOT made them more likely to keep appointments and take meds.  
- 87% of participants said they were confident in their case manager's ability.  
- 88% said they and case manager agreed on what is important to work on.  
**Effect on mental illness system**  
- **Improved Access to Services.** AOT has been instrumental in increasing accountability at all system levels regarding delivery of services to high need individuals. Community awareness of AOT has resulted in increased outreach to individuals who had previously presented engagement challenges to mental health service providers.  
- **Improved Treatment Plan Development, Discharge Planning, and Coordination of Service Planning.** Processes and structures developed for AOT have resulted in improvements to treatment plans that more appropriately match the needs of individuals who have had difficulties using mental health services in the past.  
- **Improved Collaboration between Mental Health and Court Systems.** As AOT processes have matured, professionals from the two systems have improved their working relationships, resulting in greater efficiencies, and ultimately, the conservation of judicial, clinical, and administrative resources.  
- There is now an organized process to prioritize and monitor individuals with the greatest need; |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
• Outpatient commitment orders are clinically helpful in addressing a number of manifestations of serious and persistent mental illness.  
• Approximately 20% of patients do, upon initial screening, express hesitation and opposition regarding the prospect of a court order.  
• After discharge with a court order, the majority of patients express no reservations or complaints about orders.  
• Providers of both transitional and permanent housing generally report that outpatient commitment help clients abide by the rules of the residence. More importantly, they often indicate that the court order helps clients to take medication and accept psychiatric services.  
• Housing providers state that they value the leverage provided by the order and the access to the hospital it offers. |
| Oct 2010 | Changes in Guideline-Recommended Medication Possession After Implementing Kendra's Law in New York, Alisa B. Busch, M.D Psychiatric Services | In all three regions, for all three groups, the predicted probability of an M(education) P(ossesion) R(atio) ≥80% improved over time (AOT improved by 31–40 percentage points, followed by enhanced services, which improved by 15–22 points, and "neither treatment," improving 8–19 points). Some regional differences in MPR trajectories were observed. |
| Oct 2010 | Robbing Peter to Pay Paul: Did New York State's Outpatient Commitment Program Crowd Out Voluntary Service Recipients? Jeffrey Swanson, et al. Psychiatric Services | We find that New York State’s AOT Program improves a range of important outcomes for its recipients, apparently without feared negative consequences to recipients.  
• **Racial neutrality**: We find no evidence that the AOT Program is disproportionately selecting African Americans for court orders, nor is there evidence of a disproportionate effect on other minority populations. Our interviews with key stakeholders across the state corroborate these findings.**Court orders add value**: The increased services available under AOT clearly improve recipient outcomes, however, the AOT court order, itself, and its monitoring do appear to offer additional benefits in improving outcomes.  
• **Improves likelihood that providers will serve seriously mentally ill**: It is also important to recognize that the AOT order exerts a critical effect on service providers stimulating their efforts to prioritize care for AOT recipients.  
• **Improves service engagement**: After 12 months or more on AOT, service engagement increased such that AOT recipients were judged to be more engaged than voluntary patients. This suggests that after 12 months or more, when combined with intensive services, AOT increases service engagement compared to voluntary treatment alone.  
• **Consumers Approve**: Despite being under a court order to participate in treatment, current AOT recipients feel neither more positive nor more negative about their treatment experiences than comparable individuals who are not under AOT. |
| June 2009 | D Swartz, MS, Swanson, JW, Steadman, HJ, Robbins, PC and Monahan J. New York State Assisted Outpatient Treatment Program Evaluation. Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, June, 2009 | We find that New York State’s AOT Program improves a range of important outcomes for its recipients, apparently without feared negative consequences to recipients.  
• **Racial neutrality**: We find no evidence that the AOT Program is disproportionately selecting African Americans for court orders, nor is there evidence of a disproportionate effect on other minority populations. Our interviews with key stakeholders across the state corroborate these findings.**Court orders add value**: The increased services available under AOT clearly improve recipient outcomes, however, the AOT court order, itself, and its monitoring do appear to offer additional benefits in improving outcomes.  
• **Improves likelihood that providers will serve seriously mentally ill**: It is also important to recognize that the AOT order exerts a critical effect on service providers stimulating their efforts to prioritize care for AOT recipients.  
• **Improves service engagement**: After 12 months or more on AOT, service engagement increased such that AOT recipients were judged to be more engaged than voluntary patients. This suggests that after 12 months or more, when combined with intensive services, AOT increases service engagement compared to voluntary treatment alone.  
• **Consumers Approve**: Despite being under a court order to participate in treatment, current AOT recipients feel neither more positive nor more negative about their treatment experiences than comparable individuals who are not under AOT. |
• Outpatient commitment orders often assist patients in complying with outpatient treatment.  
• Outpatient commitment orders are clinically helpful in addressing a number of manifestations of serious and persistent mental illness.  
• Approximately 20% of patients do, upon initial screening, express hesitation and opposition regarding the prospect of a court order. After discharge with a court order, the majority of patients express no reservations or complaints about orders.  
• Providers of both transitional and permanent housing generally report that outpatient commitment help clients abide by the rules of the residence. More importantly, they often indicate that the court order helps clients to take medication and accept psychiatric services.  
• Housing providers state that they value the leverage provided by the order and the access to the hospital it offers. |
• Individuals who received court ordered treatment in addition to enhanced community services spent 57 percent less time in psychiatric hospitals. |